arXiv:quant-ph/0502036v2 1 Sep 2005

Relative entropy of entanglement of a kind of two qubit entangled states

Xiao-yu Chen[1], Li-min Meng[2],Li-zhen Jiang[1],Xiang-jun Li[1]

1) School of Science, China Institute of Metrology, Hangzhou, 310018,China;

2)College of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310032, China;

Abstract

We in this paper strictly prove that some block diagonalizable two qubit entangled state with six none zero elements reaches its quantum relative entropy entanglement by the a separable state having the same matrix structure. The entangled state comprises local filtering result state as a special case.

PACS: 03.67.Mn;03.65.Ud

Keywords: closet disentangled state; relative entropy of entanglement

1 Introduction

Quantum relative entropy function has many applications in the problems of classical and quantum information transfer and quantum data compression [1]. The relative entropy has a natural interpretation in terms of the statistical distinguishability of quantum states; closely related to this is the picture of relative entropy as a distance measure between density operators. Based on the relative entropy, a nature measure of entanglement called the relative entropy of entanglement was proposed. This entanglement measure is intimately related to the entanglement of distillation by providing an upper bound for it. It tells us that the amount of entanglement in the state with its distance from the disentangled set of states. In statistical terms, the more entangled a state is the more it is distinguishable from a disentangled state[2]. However, except for some special situations[3], such an entanglement measure is usually very difficult to be calculated for mixed state. The relative entropy of entanglement is defined as [4]

$$E_r(\rho) = \min_{\sigma\in\mathcal{D}} S(\rho\,\|\sigma) = \min_{\sigma\in\mathcal{D}} Tr\rho(\log\rho - \log\sigma)., \tag{1}$$

where the minimization is performed over the set $\mathcal{D}$ of separable states (log denotes the natural logarithm throughtout this paper). When any separable state σ located at the entangle-disentangle boundary is given, entangled states to which σ is closet can be obtained analytically [5]. However, the inverse process of obtaining the optimal state σ for a given entangled state is generally not available. We in this paper deal with a special kind of entangled state. It is known that by local filtering any two qubit state can be transformed to either Bell diagonal state or the state of the form [6]

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} a+c & 0 & 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b-c & 0 \\ d & 0 & 0 & a-b \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

The entanglement property of Bell diagonal state is well known. We in this paper will investigate the relative entropy of entanglement of this second local filtering result state. More generally, we consider the following state which contains the above state as a special case:

$$\rho = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_+ + \lambda_-\cos\phi) & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_-\sin\phi e^{-i\eta} \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_-\sin\phi e^{i\eta} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-\cos\phi) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

The other two eigenvalues of ρ are λ_0 and λ_3, with $\lambda_+ = \lambda_0 + \lambda_3$ and $\lambda_- = \lambda_0 - \lambda_3$. This state appears at the issue of continuous variable to qubit mapping [7] [8].

2 The relative entropy of entanglement

Suppose the relative entropy of entanglement of state ρ be reached by a separable state σ^* which has been called as the closet disentangled state, so that the quantum relative entropy of ρ with respect to σ^* is the smallest. We will find σ^* in two steps, firstly suppose σ^* have the same matrix structure as ρ,

$$\sigma^* = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\chi_+ + \chi_- \cos\theta) & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 & \chi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \chi_2 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta e^{i\varphi} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(\chi_+ - \chi_- \cos\theta) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

the other two eigenvalues of σ^* are $\chi_0, \chi_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_+ \pm \chi_-)$. The second step is to prove that such a kind of σ^* is really the state with minimal relative entropy. The full proof is left to the next section. The separability of two qubit system is determined by the positivity of the partial transpose of the density matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{*PT} &= \frac{1}{2}(\chi_+ + \chi_- \cos\theta)\left|00\right\rangle\left\langle 00\right| + \frac{1}{2}(\chi_+ - \chi_- \cos\theta)\left|11\right\rangle\left\langle 11\right| + \chi_1\left|01\right\rangle\left\langle 01\right| + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta e^{-i\varphi}\left|01\right\rangle\left\langle 10\right| + \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta e^{i\varphi}\left|10\right\rangle\left\langle 01\right| + \chi_2\left|10\right\rangle\left\langle 10\right| \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The positivity of σ^{*PT} is determined by the positivity of the submatrix in the subspace basis $\{\left|01\right\rangle, \left|10\right\rangle\}$, One has $\chi_1\chi_2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta\right)^2$. Furthermore, the state σ^* should be at the border of separable state set (e.g. [9]). For a border state, the separable condition now takes the form of

$$\chi_1\chi_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta\right)^2. \tag{6}$$

This can also be derived from the condition of $C = 0$, where C is the concurrence of the closet disentangled state σ^*[5]. Let $\chi_+ = 2A_1 \cosh r_1, \chi_- = 2A_1 \sinh r_1$, $\chi_1 = A_2 e^{r_2}, \chi_2 = A_2 e^{-r_2}$. The separable condition will be $A_2 = A_1 \sinh r_1 \sin\theta$, The density matrix σ^* is in the form of direct plus of two 2×2 matrices. For a 2×2 matrix with the form $W = A\cosh r I + A \sinh r\, \overrightarrow{n} \cdot \overrightarrow{\sigma}$, we have $\log W = \log A + r\, \overrightarrow{n} \cdot \overrightarrow{\sigma}$,where $\overrightarrow{n}$ is a unit vector and σ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are Pauli matrices, Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -Tr\rho \log\sigma^* &= -\log A_1 - \lambda_- r_1[\cos\theta\cos\phi + \sin\theta\sin\phi\cos(\eta - \varphi)] \\ &\quad -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\log(\sinh r_1 \sin\theta) - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) r_2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Considering the restriction $Tr\sigma^* = 1$, using Lagrangian multiplier method, we have $f(\sigma^*) = S(\rho \| \sigma^*) + x(Tr\sigma^* - 1)$. The differentiation on φ turns out to be $\sin(\eta - \varphi) = 0$, without loss of generality $\varphi = \eta$, and from now on φ and η will be omitted. The differentiation on A_1 will lead to $x = 1$. The other derivations will be

$$-\lambda_- \cos(\phi - \theta) - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\coth r_1 + 2A_1 \sinh r_1 + 2A_1 \cosh r_1 \cosh r_2 \sin\theta = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$-(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + 2A_1 \sinh r_1 \sinh r_2 \sin\theta = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$-\lambda_- r_1 \sin(\phi - \theta) - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\cot\theta + 2A_1 \sinh r_1 \cosh r_2 \cos\theta = 0. \tag{10}$$

Together with $Tr\sigma^* = 1$ which now takes

$$2A_1 \cosh r_1 + 2A_1 \sinh r_1 \sin\theta \cosh r_2 = 1, \tag{11}$$

we can determine σ^*. For arbitrary ϕ, these equations can not be analytically solved. Assuming $\phi = \theta$, these equations are solvable. Eq. (10) has two results, the first is $2A_1 \sinh r_1 \cosh r_2 \sin\theta = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, this is a trivial result because it will lead to the solution $\sigma^* = \rho$, and the condition $\sin\phi = 2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}/\lambda_-$ which requires the

state ρ to be separable; the second result of Eq.(4) is $\cos\theta = 0$, this is a nontrivial solution. Hence when $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have the solution of $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &= \log(\sqrt{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2\lambda_-^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2\left[1-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2\right]^2} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\lambda_-) \\ &\quad -\log(2\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1+\lambda_2)), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{2}\log(1-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\tanh\frac{r_2}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\log(1-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\coth\frac{r_2}{2}), \tag{13}$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}{2\sinh r_1 \sinh r_2}. \tag{14}$$

The case of $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ can also be solved by the fact that the function $-\log x$ is convex which results in

$$-\log(\langle\psi|\,\sigma\,|\psi\rangle) \leq -\langle\psi|\log\sigma\,|\psi\rangle$$

for any operator χ and any normalized state $|\psi\rangle$ [10]. Noticing that for $\phi = \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the states ρ and σ^* have the same eigenbasis. So that

$$E_r(\rho) = \min_{\chi_i}\sum_i \lambda_i \log(\lambda_i/\chi_i). \tag{15}$$

can be obtained under the constraints $\sum_{i=0}^3 \chi_i = 1$ and $\chi_1\chi_2 = \frac{1}{4}\chi_-^2$.The minimization yields analytical solution of χ_i as well as $E_r(\rho)$. The special case of $\lambda_1 = 0$, that is, the $b = -c$ case of Eq(2) was already known[11].

3 Proof of matrix structure of the separable state

The most important thing left is to prove that for our special state ρ of Eq.(3), the separable state σ^* has the same matrix structure as ρ. We prove this by the fact that local minimum is also the global minimum when it is in regard to the relative entropy of entanglement[10]. Hence we only need to prove that σ^* is the local minimal state. Let $f(x,\sigma^*,\sigma) = S(\rho\,\|(1-x)\sigma^* + x\sigma)$ be the relative entropy of a state obtained by moving from σ^* towards some σ. The derivative of f will be [12][10]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,\sigma^*,\sigma) = \int_0^\infty ((\sigma^*+t)^{-1}\rho(\sigma^*+t)^{-1}\delta\sigma)dt = TrA\delta\sigma, \tag{16}$$

where we denote $(1-x)\sigma^* + x\sigma = \sigma^* - \delta\sigma$, and the operator A has the following matrix elements in the eigenbasis $\{|\chi_n\rangle\}$ of σ^*:

$$A^\chi_{mn} = \langle\chi_m|\,A\,|\chi_n\rangle = \frac{\log\chi_n - \log\chi_m}{\chi_n-\chi_m}\langle\chi_m|\,\rho\,|\chi_n\rangle\,. \tag{17}$$

And when $\chi_m = \chi_n$, the corresponding coefficient should be replaced with the limit value of χ_n^{-1}. We have $A^\chi_{00} = \frac{1}{2\chi_0}\left[\lambda_+ + \lambda_-\cos(\theta-\phi)\right]$, $A^\chi_{11} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1}$, $A^\chi_{22} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}$, $A^\chi_{33} = \frac{1}{2\chi_3}\left[\lambda_+ - \lambda_-\cos(\theta-\phi)\right]$, $A^\chi_{03} = A^\chi_{30} = -\frac{\log\chi_0 - \log\chi_3}{2(\chi_0-\chi_3)}$ $\lambda_-\sin(\theta-\phi)$. And all other A^χ_{mn} are 0. Expressing $-Tr\rho\log\sigma^*$ in χ_i and considering the constraints $\sum_{i=0}^3\chi_i = 1$ and $\chi_1\chi_2 = \frac{1}{4}\chi_-^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -Tr\rho\log\sigma^* - z(\chi_1\chi_2 - \frac{1}{4}\chi_-^2) &= -\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_+ + \lambda_-\cos(\theta-\phi)\right]\log\chi_0 \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_+ - \lambda_-\cos(\theta-\phi)\right]\log\chi_3 \\ &\quad -\lambda_2\log\chi_2 - \lambda_1\log(1-\chi_+-\chi_2) \\ &\quad -z((1-\chi_+-\chi_2)\chi_2 - \frac{1}{4}\chi_-^2\sin^2\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where z is Lagrangian multiplier. The derivations then will be

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2\chi_0}\left[\lambda_+ + \lambda_- \cos(\theta-\phi)\right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{1-\chi_+-\chi_2} - z(-\chi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin^2\theta) &= 0, \quad (19)\\
-\frac{1}{2\chi_3}\left[\lambda_+ - \lambda_- \cos(\theta-\phi)\right] + \frac{\lambda_1}{1-\chi_+-\chi_2} - z(-\chi_2 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin^2\theta) &= 0, \quad (20)\\
\frac{\log\chi_0 - \log\chi_3}{2(\chi_0-\chi_3)}\lambda_- \sin(\theta-\phi) - z(-\frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin\theta\cos\theta) &= 0, \quad (21)\\
-\frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2} + \frac{\lambda_1}{1-\chi_+-\chi_2} - z(1-\chi_+-2\chi_2) &= 0. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

From the last equation, we get $z = \frac{1}{\chi_1-\chi_2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}\right)$,comparing these equations with A^χ_{mn}, we get $A^\chi_{00} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} - z(-\chi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin^2\theta)$, $A^\chi_{33} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} - z(-\chi_2 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_- \sin^2\theta)$, $A^\chi_{03} = \frac{1}{2}z\chi_- \sin\theta\cos\theta$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}(A^\chi_{00} + A^\chi_{33}) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} + \frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1-\chi_2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}\right) = \frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}{\chi_1-\chi_2} = 1. \quad (23)$$

The last equality is from Eq.(9). And $\frac{1}{2}(A^\chi_{00} - A^\chi_{33}) = \frac{1}{2}z\chi_- \sin^2\theta$. So that $\frac{1}{2}(A^\chi_{00} - A^\chi_{33})\cos\theta - A^\chi_{03}\sin\theta = 0$, $\frac{1}{2}(A^\chi_{00} - A^\chi_{33})\sin\theta + A^\chi_{03}\cos\theta = \frac{1}{2}z\chi_- \sin\theta = z\sqrt{\chi_1\chi_2}$, Hence, in the usual basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$, the operator A will be

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & D \\ 0 & B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

with $B = \frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1}$, $C = \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}$, $D = \frac{\sqrt{\chi_1\chi_2}}{\chi_1-\chi_2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}\right)$. We should prove that for any separable state χ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, \sigma^*, \sigma) = TrA\delta\sigma = TrA(\sigma^* - \sigma) \geq 0. \quad (25)$$

where $TrA\sigma^* = 1$[12], But any $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$ can be written in the form of $\sigma = \sum_i p_i \left|\alpha^i\beta^i\right\rangle\left\langle\alpha^i\beta^i\right|$ and so $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, \sigma^*, \sigma) = \sum_i p_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(0, \sigma^*, \left|\alpha^i\beta^i\right\rangle\left\langle\alpha^i\beta^i\right|\right)$, The problem is reduced to prove that for any normalized pure state $|\alpha\beta\rangle\langle\alpha\beta|$,

$$\langle\alpha\beta| A |\alpha\beta\rangle \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

Let $|\alpha\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta_1}{2}|0\rangle + \sin\frac{\theta_1}{2}\exp(i\varphi_1)|1\rangle$, $|\beta\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta_2}{2}|0\rangle + \sin\frac{\theta_2}{2}\exp(i\varphi_2)|1\rangle$ be the most general pure states. The maximum of $\langle\alpha\beta| A |\alpha\beta\rangle$ over all $|\alpha\beta\rangle$ then will be

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\alpha,\beta}\langle\alpha\beta| A |\alpha\beta\rangle &= \frac{1}{4}(2 + B + C + 2D + \frac{(B-C)^2}{2-B-C+2D}) \quad (27)\\
&= 1 + \frac{D^2 - (B-1)(C-1)}{4(2-B-C+2D)}.
\end{aligned}$$

While $D^2 - (B-1)(C-1) = \frac{\chi_1\chi_2}{(\chi_1-\chi_2)^2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}\right)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\chi_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\chi_2}\right) = 0$. The last equality is due to Eq.(23). So that $\max_{\alpha,\beta}\langle\alpha\beta| A |\alpha\beta\rangle = 1$. And inequality (26) is proved. We complete the proof that σ^* is the separable state having the smallest quantum relative entropy of ρ with respect to.

4 Conclusions and Discussions

The main result of this paper is that for two qubit state with six none zero density matrix elements (besides diagonal elements, the other two none zero elements are $|00\rangle\langle 11|$ and $|11\rangle\langle 00|$ items) the separable state reaches

the relative entropy of entanglement has the same density matrix structure as the original entangled state. The proof is strict. The direct application is the relative entropy of entanglement of two qubit local filtering result state and more generally two qubit state converted from quantum continuous variable[7] [8]. It is anticipated that the proof can be applied to a more general block diagonal state, that is, a state with eight none zero elements (the new none zero elements are added at $|01\rangle\langle 10|$ and $|10\rangle\langle 01|$ items).

5 Acknowledgement

Funding by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (under Grant No. 10347119) and Zhejiang Province Natural Science Foundation (Fund for Talented Professionals, under Grant No. R104265) are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] Lin X M et al 2004 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **21**,1525

[2] Vedral V 2002 *Rev. Mod. phys.* **74**, 197.

[3] Wu S J, et al 2001 *Chin.Phys. Lett.* **18** 160; quant-ph/0004018.

[4] Vedral V, Plenio M B, Rippin M A and Knight P L 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2275.

[5] Ishizaka S 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* 67, 060301(R).

[6] Verstraete F, Dehaene J and De Moor B 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 010101.

[7] Mišta L, Filip Jr. R and Fiurášek J 2002 *Phys. Rev. A* 65, 062315.

[8] Paternostro M, Falci G, Kim M, and Palma G M 2004 *Phys. Rev. B* **69** 214502.

[9] Chen X Y 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 062320; quant-ph/0402109.

[10] Vedral V, Plenio M B, Jacobs K and Knight P L 1997 *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 4452.

[11] Vedral V, Plenio M B 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **57,**1619.

[12] Řeháček J and Hradil Z 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 127904.